

EXHIBIT 3

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**
10 **SAN JOSE DIVISION**

11 RENE CABRERA and RM CABRERA
12 COMPANY, INC,
13 Individually and On Behalf of All Others
14 Similarly Situated,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 GOOGLE LLC,

18 Defendant.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Case No. 5:11-cv-1263-EJD-VKD

**DECLARATION OF STEVEN WEISBROT
OF ANGEION GROUP, LLC IN SUPPORT
OF PROPOSED PLAN FOR PROVIDING
NOTICE OF THE SETTLEMENT TO THE
CLASSES AND ADMINISTRATING THE
SETTLEMENT**

1 I, Steven Weisbrot, declare and state as follows:

2 1. I am the President and Chief Executive Officer at the class action notice and claims
3 administration firm Angeion Group, LLC (“Angeion”). Angeion specializes in designing,
4 developing, analyzing, and implementing large-scale, un-biased, legal notification plans.

5 2. At the request of Class Counsel, I am submitting this declaration to provide the Court
6 and the Parties to the above-captioned action (“Action”) with information about the procedures and
7 methods to be used in providing notice of the proposed Settlement to Class Members, administering
8 the Settlement, and distributing Settlement Payments to Participating Class Members.¹ I have
9 personal knowledge of the matters stated herein. If called on to do so, I could and would testify
10 competently thereto.

11 3. In forming my opinions regarding notice and administration in this Action, I have
12 drawn from my extensive class action experience, as described below. My credentials were
13 previously provided in connection with the notice of pendency campaign (“Class Notice”) conducted
14 in the Action (Dkt. 809-1) and are restated here for ease of reference.

15 4. I have been responsible in whole or in part for the design and implementation of
16 hundreds of court-approved notice and administration programs, including some of the largest and
17 most complex notice plans in recent history. I have taught numerous accredited Continuing Legal
18 Education courses on the Ethics of Legal Notification in Class Action Settlements, using Digital
19 Media in Due Process Notice Programs, as well as Claims Administration, generally. I am the author
20 of multiple articles on Class Action Notice, Claims Administration, and Notice Design in
21 publications such as Bloomberg, BNA Class Action Litigation Report, Law360, the ABA Class
22 Action and Derivative Section Newsletter, and I am a frequent speaker on notice issues at
23 conferences throughout the United States and internationally.

24 5. I was certified as a professional in digital media sales by the Interactive Advertising
25 Bureau (“IAB”) and I am co-author of the Digital Media section of Duke Law’s *Guidelines and Best*

26
27 ¹ Unless otherwise indicated, capitalized terms shall have their meanings as defined in the Class
28 Action Settlement and Release Agreement dated March 27, 2025 (“Settlement Agreement”).

1 *Practices—Implementing 2018 Amendments to Rule 23* and the soon to be published George
2 Washington Law School *Best Practices Guide to Class Action Litigation*.

3 6. I have given public comment and written guidance to the Judicial Conference
4 Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure on the role of direct mail, email, broadcast media,
5 digital media, and print publication, in effecting Due Process notice, and I have met with
6 representatives of the Federal Judicial Center to discuss the 2018 amendments to Rule 23 and offered
7 an educational curriculum for the judiciary concerning notice procedures.

8 7. Prior to joining Angeion's executive team, I was employed as Director of Class
9 Action Services at Kurtzman Carson Consultants, an experienced notice and settlement
10 administrator. Prior to my notice and claims administration experience, I was employed in private
11 law practice.

12 8. My notice work comprises a wide range of class actions that include privacy, product
13 defect, false advertising, data breach, mass disasters, employment discrimination, antitrust, tobacco,
14 banking, firearm, insurance, and bankruptcy cases.

15 9. I have been at the forefront of infusing digital media, as well as big data and advanced
16 targeting, into class action notice programs. Courts have repeatedly recognized my work in the
17 design of class action notice programs. A comprehensive summary of judicial recognition Angeion
18 has received is attached hereto as **Exhibit A**.

19 10. By way of further background, Angeion is an experienced class action notice and
20 claims administration company formed by a team of executives that have had extensive tenures at
21 five other nationally recognized claims administration companies. Collectively, the management
22 team at Angeion has overseen more than 2,000 class action settlements and distributed over \$15
23 billion to class members. The executive profiles as well as the company overview are available at
24 www.angeiongroup.com.

25 11. As a class action administrator, Angeion has regularly been approved by both federal
26 and state courts throughout the United States and abroad to provide notice of class actions and claims
27 processing services.
28

12. Angeion has extensive experience administering landmark notice campaigns and settlements involving some of the world's most prominent companies, including:

In re: Facebook, Inc. Consumer Privacy User Profile Litigation

Case No. 3:18-md-02843-VC (N.D. Cal.)

Meta agreed to pay \$725 million to settle allegations that the social media company allowed third parties, including Cambridge Analytica, to access personal information. Angeion is currently undertaking an integrated in-app notification and media campaign to a class in the hundreds of millions of individuals and businesses.

In re Apple Inc. Device Performance Litigation

Case No. 3:18-cv-02843 (N.D. Cal.)

Apple agreed to pay \$310 million to settle allegations of diminished performance in iPhone 6's and 7's. Angeion's direct notification efforts were recognized as satisfying due process for a settlement class consisting of the current and former owners of 129 million class devices. Millions of claims were processed.

City of Long Beach, et al. v. Monsanto, et al.

Case No. 2:16-cv-03493-FMO-AS (C.D. Cal.)

Bayer agreed to pay \$650 million to settle allegations of waterbodies impaired by PCBs. Angeion's notice administration was extraordinarily successful. The claims administration includes multiple complex claims filing workflows for different funding allocations, including a separate fund for "special needs" claimants.

Beckett v. Aetna Inc.

Case No. 2:17- CV-3864-JS (E.D. Pa.)

A consolidated data breach class action that arose from the alleged improper disclosure of Protected Health Information by a health insurer and previous claims administrator, including confidential HIV-related information. Angeion provided specialized training to our support team concerning the sensitive nature of the case and underlying health information. Angeion implemented robust privacy protocols to communicate with and verify the claims of the affected class members, including anonymized notice packets and allowing claimants to lodge objections under pseudonyms.

13. Angeion also has substantial experience administering notice plans and settlements under the Northern District of California's Procedural Guidance for Class Action Settlements, including its recent amendments. Of note, Angeion performed administration services for both the notice of pendency and settlement phases of *AdTrader, Inc. v. Google LLC*, Case No. 5:17-cv-07082, a similar case in the Northern District of California before the Honorable Beth Labson-Freeman. Further, Angeion has administered settlements in the Northern District involving some of the world's most prominent companies:

| Case Citation | Case No. |
|---|---------------|
| <i>In re: Apple Inc. Device Performance Litigation</i> | 5:18-md-02827 |
| <i>Cameron et al. v. Apple Inc.</i> | 4:19-cv-03074 |
| <i>Frlekin v. Apple Inc. ("Bag check")</i> | 3:13-cv-03451 |
| <i>Williams et al. v. Apple Inc. ("iCloud Subscription")</i> | 5:19-cv-04700 |
| <i>In re Google Play Developer Antitrust Litigation</i> | 3:20-cv-05792 |
| <i>In re Facebook, Inc., Consumer Privacy User Profile Litig. ("Cambridge Analytica")</i> | 3:18-md-02843 |
| <i>Lundy v Facebook Inc. ("Location Services")</i> | 3:18-cv-06793 |
| <i>In re: Facebook Internet Tracking Litigation ("Cookies")</i> | 5:12-md-02314 |
| <i>Vianu v. AT&T Mobility LLC</i> | 3:19-cv-03602 |
| <i>In re: Plaid Inc. Privacy Litigation</i> | 4:20-cv-03056 |

14. Additionally, Angeion has been appointed or engaged as the administrator in the following matters before this Court and the Honorable Judge Edward Davila:

| Case Citation | Case No. |
|--|---------------|
| <i>Williamson et al. v. McAfee Inc.</i> | 5:14-cv-00158 |
| <i>In re: Google Plus Profile Litigation</i> | 5:18-cv-06164 |
| <i>In re: Apple Inc. Device Performance Litigation</i> | 5:18-md-02827 |
| <i>In re: Finistar Corporation Securities Litigation</i> | 5:11-cv-01252 |
| <i>In re: Facebook Internet Tracking Litigation</i> | 5:12-md-02314 |

15. Angeion will further draw on the experience garnered from facilitating Class Notice in this Action pursuant to this Court's Order Approving the Form and Manner of Class Notice (Dkt. 810) ("Class Notice Order"). Angeion was retained by Class Counsel, subject to Court approval, to serve as the Administrator for Class Notice, after submitting a detailed proposal in response to a request for proposal received from Class Counsel. Angeion's retention was approved by the Court pursuant to the Class Notice Order.

DATA SECURITY & INSURANCE

16. Angeion recognizes the critical need to secure our physical and network environments and protect data in our custody. It is our commitment to these matters that has made us the go-to administrator for many of the most prominent data security matters of this decade. We are continuously improving upon our robust policies, procedures, and infrastructure by periodically updating data security policies as well as our approach to managing data security in response to

1 changes to physical environment, new threats and risks, business circumstances, legal and policy
2 implications, and evolving technical environments. Moreover, Angeion has numerous control
3 systems and procedures in place to assume the secure handling of class member data that it believes
4 meet or exceed relevant industry standards. A summary of those procedures is provided below.

5 17. Angeion's privacy practices are compliant with the California Consumer Privacy Act,
6 as currently drafted. Consumer data obtained for the delivery of each project is used only for the
7 purposes intended and agreed in advance by all contracted parties, including compliance with orders
8 issued by State or Federal courts as appropriate. Angeion imposes additional data security measures
9 for the protection of Personally Identifiable Information (PII) and Personal Health Information
10 (PHI), including redaction, restricted network and physical access on a need-to-know basis, and
11 network access tracking. Angeion requires background checks of all employees, requires
12 background checks and ongoing compliance audits of its contractors, and enforces standard
13 protocols for the rapid removal of physical and network access in the event of an employee or
14 contractor termination.

15 18. Data is transmitted using Transport Layer Security (TLS) 1.3 protocols. Network data
16 is encrypted at rest with the government and financial institution standard of AES 256-bit encryption.
17 We maintain an offline, air-gapped backup copy of all data, ensuring that projects can be
18 administered without interruption.

19 19. Further, our team stays on top of the latest compliance requirements, such as GDPR,
20 HIPAA, PCI DSS, and others, to ensure that our organization is meeting all necessary regulatory
21 obligations as well as aligning to industry best practices and standards set forth by frameworks like
22 CIS and NIST. Angeion is cognizant of the ever-evolving digital landscape and continually improves
23 its security infrastructure and processes, including partnering with best-in-class security service
24 providers. Angeion's robust policies and processes cover all aspects of information security to form
25 part of an industry leading security and compliance program, which is regularly assessed by
26 independent third parties. Angeion is also committed to a culture of security mindfulness. All
27
28

1 employees routinely undergo cybersecurity training to ensure that safeguarding information and
2 cybersecurity vigilance is a core practice in all aspects of the work our teams complete.

3 20. Angeion currently maintains a comprehensive insurance program, including
4 sufficient Errors & Omissions coverage.

5 21. Angeion acknowledges and agrees to comply and be bound by the Stipulated
6 Protective Order that was issued by the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California on
7 December 5, 2012 and the Additional Data Protections entered on July 8, 2024 in this Action.
8 Accordingly, Angeion affirms that the data provided to it by Google and Class Members for the
9 purposes of providing notice and administering the Settlement in this Action will be used solely for
10 those purposes.

11 **SUMMARY OF THE PROPOSED PLAN FOR DISSEMINATING NOTICE**
12 **OF THE SETTLEMENT TO THE CLASSES**

13 22. This declaration describes the proposed notice plan that we will implement in
14 connection with the Settlement of this matter, including the considerations that informed the
15 development of the notice plan and why it will provide due process to the Classes. In my professional
16 opinion, the proposed notice plan described herein (“Notice Plan”) is the best practicable notice
17 under the circumstances and fulfills all due process requirements, fully complying with Fed. R. Civ.
18 P. 23 and the Northern District’s Procedural Guidance for Class Action Settlements.

19 23. The Notice Plan provides individual notice primarily via email (and by First-Class
20 Mail in the event we have a physical mailing address) to all reasonably identifiable Class Members,
21 combined with the implementation of a dedicated Settlement Website and toll-free telephone hotline
22 where Class Members can learn about their rights and options pursuant to the terms of the Settlement.

23 24. The Notice Plan will further feature a state-of-the-art media campaign that is designed
24 to independently deliver an approximate 70.20% reach with an average frequency of 3.05 times. As
25 discussed below, this reach percentage is calculated using objective syndicated advertising data
26 relied upon by most advertising agencies and brand advertisers and is further verified by
27 sophisticated media software and calculation engines that cross reference which media is being
28

1 purchased with the media habits of our specific Target Audience (defined below). What this means
2 in practice is that 70.20% of our Target Audience will see a digital advertisement concerning the
3 Settlement on an average of 3.05 times each by delivering approximately 15.3 million impressions.

4 25. The Federal Judicial Center states that a publication notice plan that reaches 70% of
5 class members is one that reaches a “high percentage” and is within the “norm.” Barbara J. Rothstein
6 & Thomas E. Willging, Federal Judicial Center, “Managing Class Action Litigation: A Pocket Guide
7 or Judges,” at 27 (3d Ed. 2010).

8 **DIRECT NOTICE**

9 26. Angeion will utilize the data it received from Google’s February 2025 data
10 production to disseminate direct notice to Class Members. Angeion will review the Class Member
11 data to identify duplicative records and will assign identification numbers to each unique Class
12 Member record, which will comprise the Class Member List (“Class List”).

13 **Email Notice**

14 27. As part of the Notice Plan, Angeion will send direct email notice to Class Members
15 who have valid email addresses included on the Class List. As set forth in the Preliminary Approval
16 Order, Angeion will cause email notices to be sent to Class Members no later than twenty (20) days
17 following entry of the Preliminary Approval Order. The email notice will provide Class Members
18 with information regarding the Settlement and their options in connection with the Settlement,
19 including how to submit a Payment Form in order to be eligible to receive a Settlement Payment.

20 28. Angeion follows best practices to both validate emails and increase deliverability.
21 Specifically, prior to distributing the email notice, Angeion will subject the email addresses on the
22 Class List to a cleansing and validation process. The email cleansing process will remove extra
23 spaces, fix common typographical errors in domain names, and correct insufficient domain suffixes
24 (e.g., gmal.com to gmail.com, gmail.co to gmail.com, yaho.com to yahoo.com, etc.). The email
25 addresses will then be subjected to an email validation process whereby each email address will be
26
27
28

1 compared to known bad email addresses.² Email addresses that are not designated as a known bad
2 address will then be further verified by contacting the Internet Service Provider (“ISP”) to determine
3 if the email address exists.

4 29. Further, Angeion designs email notice to avoid many common “red flags” that might
5 otherwise cause an email recipient’s spam filter to block or identify the email notice as spam. For
6 example, Angeion does not include attachments like the long form notice or payment/claim form to
7 the email notice, because attachments are often interpreted by various ISPs as spam.

8 30. Angeion also accounts for the real-world reality that some emails will inevitably fail
9 to be delivered during the initial delivery attempt. Therefore, once the initial noticing campaign is
10 complete, Angeion will initiate a second round of email noticing to any email addresses that were
11 previously identified as soft bounces and not delivered. This will occur after an approximate 24- to
12 72-hour rest period, allowing any temporary block at the ISP level to expire. In our experience, this
13 minimizes emails that may have erroneously failed to deliver due to sensitive servers and optimizes
14 delivery.

15 31. In addition to the best practices described above, Angeion will cause any email
16 address for which email notice could not be delivered to be subjected to an email change of address
17 search to locate updated email addresses. Angeion will then send email notice to any updated email
18 addresses obtained via this process.

19 32. At the completion of the email campaign, Angeion will report to the Court concerning
20 the rate of delivered emails accounting for any emails that are blocked at the ISP level. In short, the
21 Court will possess a detailed, verified account of the success rate of the entire direct email notice
22 campaign.

23
24
25
26 ² Angeion maintains a database of email addresses that were returned as permanently undeliverable,
27 commonly referred to as a hard bounce, from prior campaigns. Where an address has been returned
28 as a hard bounce within the last year, that email is designated as a known bad email address.

Mailed Notice

33. As part of the Notice Plan, Angeion will cause notice to be mailed by First-Class U.S. Mail to each Class Member with a physical mailing address contained in Google's February 2025 data production.

34. Angeion will employ the following best practices to increase the deliverability rate of the mailed notices: (i) Angeion will cause the mailing address information for Class Members to be updated utilizing the United States Postal Service's ("USPS") National Change of Address database, which provides updated address information for individuals or entities who have moved during the previous four years and filed a change of address with the USPS; (ii) notices returned to Angeion by the USPS with a forwarding address will be re-mailed to the new address provided by the USPS; (iii) notices returned to Angeion by the USPS without forwarding addresses will be subjected to an address verification search (commonly referred to as "skip tracing") utilizing a wide variety of data sources, including public records, real estate records, electronic directory assistance listings, etc., to locate updated addresses; and (iv) notices will be re-mailed to Class Members for whom updated addresses were identified via the skip tracing process.

35. If Google's data contains both an email address and a physical mailing address for a Class Member, Angeion will provide notice to the Class Member by both email and First-Class U.S. Mail. As set forth in the Preliminary Approval Order, Angeion will cause notice to be mailed to Class Members no later than twenty (20) days following entry of the Preliminary Approval Order.

Reminder Notices

36. Angeion will consult with Class Counsel regarding the dissemination of additional email notices reminding Class Members to submit a Payment Form in order to be eligible to receive a Settlement Payment. Angeion anticipates sending one to two reminder emails to Class Members in advance of the Payment Form submission deadline.

MEDIA NOTICE

37. The Notice Plan will also include a media notice component. Pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order, the media notice campaign will commence ten (10) days following the

1 emailing/ mailing of direct notice and will continue for thirty (30) days. The state-of-the-art,
2 comprehensive media notice campaign features targeted internet banner advertisement notice, social
3 media notice, and a paid search campaign, and is designed to deliver an approximate 70.20% reach
4 with an average frequency of 3.05 times each by serving roughly 15.3 million impressions. The
5 70.20% reach is separate and apart from the direct notice efforts, the dedicated Settlement Website
6 and toll-free telephone hotline support.

7 38. Angeion’s media notice campaign will utilize a form of internet advertising known
8 as programmatic display advertising, which is the leading method of buying digital advertisements
9 in the United States.³ In laymen’s terms, programmatic advertising is a method of advertising where
10 an algorithm identifies and examines demographic profiles and uses advanced technology to place
11 advertisements on the websites where members of an audience are most likely to visit (these websites
12 are accessible on computers, mobile phones and tablets). The media notice campaign is strategically
13 designed to provide notice of the Settlement to Class Members by driving them to the dedicated
14 Settlement Website where they can learn more about the Settlement, including their rights and
15 options, as well as instructions on how to submit a Payment Form.

16 39. To develop the media notice campaign and to verify its effectiveness, our media team
17 analyzed data from 2024 comScore Multi-Platform/MRI Simmons USA Fusion⁴ to profile the
18
19

20 ³ Programmatic Display Advertising is a trusted advertising method specifically utilized to reach
21 defined target audiences. Programmatic digital display ad spending in the United States exceeded
22 \$135 billion in 2023 and is forecasted to approach \$180 billion by 2025. See
[https://www.insiderintelligence.com/content/programmatic-ad-spending-set-reach-nearly-180-
billion-by-2025](https://www.insiderintelligence.com/content/programmatic-ad-spending-set-reach-nearly-180-billion-by-2025) (Last visited: March 26, 2025)

23 ⁴ GfK MediaMark Research and Intelligence LLC (“GfK MRI”) provides demographic, brand
24 preference and media-use habits, and captures in-depth information on consumer media choices,
25 attitudes, and consumption of products and services in nearly 600 categories. comSCORE, Inc.
26 (“comSCORE”) is a leading cross-platform measurement and analytics company that precisely
27 measures audiences, brands, and consumer behavior, capturing 1.9 trillion global interactions
28 monthly. comSCORE’s proprietary digital audience measurement methodology allows marketers to
calculate audience reach in a manner not affected by variables such as cookie deletion and cookie
blocking/rejection, allowing these audiences to be reach more effectively. comSCORE operates in
more than 75 countries, including the United States, serving over 3,200 clients worldwide.

Classes and arrive at an appropriate Target Audience. Specifically, the following syndicated research definition was used to profile potential Class Members:

- **Respondent: Business Purchases/Product/Services Bought Last 12 Months: Advertising/Promotion**

40. Based on the above target definition, the size of the Target Audience for the media notice campaign is approximately 7,183,000 individuals. Digital media platforms provide numerous data segments dedicated to consumer brands. We will rely heavily on that data to help us ensure we reach the most appropriate users, *i.e.*, members of the Classes.

41. It is important to note that the Target Audience serves as a proxy for, and is distinct from, the Class definitions, as is commonplace in class action notice plans. Utilizing an overinclusive proxy audience maximizes the efficacy of the notice plan and is considered a best practice among media planners and class action notice experts alike. Using proxy audiences is also commonplace in both class action litigation and advertising generally.⁵

42. Additionally, the Target Audience is based on objective syndicated data, which is routinely used by advertising agencies and experts to understand the demographics, shopping habits and attitudes of the consumers that they are seeking to reach.⁶ Using this form of objective data will allow the Parties to report the reach and frequency to the Court, with the confidence that the reach percentage and the number of exposure opportunities complies with due process, and exceeds the Federal Judicial Center's threshold as to reasonableness in notification programs. Virtually all professional advertising agencies and commercial media departments use objective syndicated data

⁵ If the total population base (or class size) is unknown, it is accepted advertising and communication practice to use a proxy-media definition, which is based on accepted media research tools and methods that will allow the notice expert to establish that number. The percentage of the population reached by supporting media can then be established. Duke Law School, GUIDELINES AND BEST PRACTICES IMPLEMENTING 2018 AMENDMENTS TO RULE 23 CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT PROVISIONS, at 56.

⁶ The notice plan should include an analysis of the makeup of the class. The target audience should be defined and quantified. This can be established through using a known group of customers, or it can be based on a proxy-media definition. Both methods have been accepted by the courts and, more generally, by the advertising industry, to determine a population base. *Id* at 56.

1 tools to quantify net reach. Objective syndicated data sources guarantee that advertising placements
 2 can be measured on an objective basis, ensuring that reporting statistics are not overstated. Objective
 3 syndicated data tools are ubiquitous tools in a media planner's arsenal and are regularly accepted by
 4 courts in evaluating the efficacy of a media plan, or its component parts.

5 43. Understanding the socio-economic characteristics, interests, and practices of a target
 6 group aids in the proper selection of media to reach that audience. Based on data from 2024
 7 comScore Multi-Platform/MRI Simmons USA Fusion, the Target Audience has been reported to
 8 have the characteristics below:

- 9 • 65.27% are ages 35-64;
- 10 • 50.80% are female;
- 11 • 60.35% are married;
- 12 • 36.66% have children;
- 13 • 55.17% have received a bachelor's or postgraduate degree;
- 14 • The median household income is \$145,280; and
- 15 • 92.30% have used social media in the last 30 days.

16 44. To identify the best vehicles to deliver messaging to the Target Audience, we
 17 reviewed media quintiles, which measure the degree to which an audience uses media relative to the
 18 general population. Here, the objective syndicated data shows that members of this Target Audience
 19 are heavy internet users, spending an average of 33.4 hours per week on the internet.

20 45. Given the strength of digital advertising, as well as our Target Audience's consistent
 21 internet use, we recommend utilizing a robust internet advertising campaign to reach potential Class
 22 Members.

23 46. Multiple targeting layers will be implemented into the programmatic campaign to
 24 help ensure delivery to the most appropriate users, inclusive of the following tactics:

- 25 • Look-a-like Modeling: This technique uses data methods to build a look-a-like audience
 26 against known Class Members.
- 27 • Predictive Targeting: This technique allows technology to "predict" which users will be
 28 served advertisements about the Settlement.
- Context Targeting: This technique leverages contextual on-site data to surround our
 messaging (*i.e.*, advertisements about the Settlement) on sites with relevant topics and/or
 articles.

- Site Retargeting: This technique is a targeting method used to reach potential Class Members who have already visited the dedicated Settlement Website while they browse other pages. This allows for sufficient exposure to advertisements about the Settlement.
- Geotargeting: The campaign will be targeted nationwide with a weighted delivery based on how the Target Audience is geographically spread throughout the country.
- B2B Targeting: This technique includes specific targeting to individuals involved in the purchase of digital marketing.

Social Media Advertising

47. The social media campaign component of the Notice Plan will utilize Facebook, Instagram, and X, three of the leading social media platforms in the United States,⁷ to reach potential Class Members. The social media campaign capitalizes on the Target Audience's propensity to engage in social media (92.30% of the Target Audience have used social media in the last 30 days).

48. The social media campaigns will coincide with the programmatic display advertising and will engage Target Audience members utilizing strategic tactics to further qualify and deliver impressions to the Target Audience. For example, look-a-like modeling allows the use of consumer characteristics to serve advertisements. Based on these characteristics, we can also build different consumer profile segments to ensure the notice plan messaging is delivered to the proper audience. The social media ads will be targeted nationwide. If sufficient data is available, the campaign will leverage a weighted delivery based on the geographic spread of the Target Audience throughout the country.

49. The social media campaign will also use the Facebook Marketing and X's platforms to serve advertisements to the Target Audience via a mix of news feed and/or story units to optimize performance via the social media platforms' desktop sites, mobile sites, and mobile apps.

Paid Search Campaign

50. The Notice Plan also includes a paid search campaign on Google to help drive Class Members who are actively searching for information about the lawsuit to the Settlement Website.

⁷ Facebook is estimated to have over 250 million U.S. users, Instagram over 169 million U.S. users, and X over 106 million U.S. users. See: <https://www.statista.com/statistics/408971/number-of-us-facebook-users/>; <https://www.statista.com/statistics/578364/countries-with-most-instagram-users/>; <https://www.statista.com/statistics/242606/number-of-active-twitter-users-in-selected-countries/> (last visited March 26, 2025).

1 Paid search ads will complement the programmatic and social media campaigns, as search engines
2 are frequently used to locate a specific website, rather than a person typing in the URL. Search terms
3 would relate to not only the Settlement itself but also the subject-matter of the litigation. In other
4 words, the paid search ads are driven by the individual user's search activity, such that if that
5 individual searches for (or has recently searched for) the lawsuit, litigation or other terms related to
6 the Settlement, that individual could be served with an advertisement directing them to the
7 Settlement Website.

8 **DEDICATED WEBSITE & TELEPHONE SUPPORT**

9 51. Simultaneously with the direct notice, Angeion will update the previously established
10 website dedicated to this class action, www.AdWordsClicksClassAction.com, with information
11 pertinent to the Settlement. The Settlement Website will be updated so Class Members can easily
12 view general information about the Settlement and review relevant Court documents, including the
13 long-form Notice, which will be made available in both English and a legal certifiable Spanish
14 translation.

15 52. The Settlement Website will be designed to be user-friendly to make it easy for Class
16 Members to find answers to frequently asked questions, view dates and deadlines, and will have a
17 "Contact Us" page containing a dedicated email address by which Class Members can send
18 additional questions regarding the Settlement. The Settlement Website will contain a downloadable
19 version of the Payment Form that Class Members are required to submit to Angeion in order to be
20 eligible to receive a Settlement Payment. Class Members also will be able to securely submit a
21 Payment Form or a request for exclusion online through a customized online portal accessible via
22 the Settlement Website.

23 53. The Settlement Website will be designed to be ADA-compliant and optimized for
24 mobile visitors so that information loads quickly on mobile devices. Additionally, the Settlement
25 Website will be designed to maximize search engine optimization through Google and other search
26 engines. Keywords and natural language search terms will be included in the Settlement Website's
27 metadata to maximize search engine rankings.
28

1 54. The toll-free telephone hotline for the case will be updated to further apprise Class
2 Members of their rights and options in the Settlement. The toll-free telephone hotline will utilize an
3 interactive voice response (“IVR”) system to provide responses to frequently asked questions and
4 other essential information regarding the Settlement. The toll-free telephone hotline will be
5 accessible 24 hours a day, 7 days a week. Class Members will have the ability to request a copy of
6 the long-form Notice and Payment Form via the toll-free telephone hotline.

7 **SUBMISSION AND REVIEW OF PAYMENT FORMS**

8 55. As noted above, Class Members who wish to be eligible to receive a Settlement
9 Payment from the Settlement will be required to complete and submit to Angeion a completed
10 Payment Form either by mail or online through the Settlement Website. As set forth in the
11 Preliminary Approval Order, the Parties propose that the deadline for Payment Forms be seventy-
12 five (75) days after the emailing/ mailing of notice to Class Members.

13 56. Each Payment Form received by Angeion will be reviewed upon receipt to verify that
14 all required information has been provided. If a Payment Form is determined to be deficient in any
15 way or additional information is needed in order to be eligible for a Settlement Payment, Angeion
16 will communicate with the potential Class Member who submitted the Payment Form, via letter or
17 email, to attempt to cure the deficiency and/or obtain the required additional information. If the
18 deficiency is not cured and/or the required additional information is not obtained, the potential Class
19 Member who submitted the Payment Form will not receive a Settlement Payment. Angeion will take
20 reasonable steps to identify any duplicative Payment Form submissions such that only one payment
21 is issued per unique Customer ID/Account Number.

22 57. After the Payment Forms have been fully processed, Angeion will present the results
23 to the Parties for submission to the Court. Thereafter, once the Settlement is approved and the
24 Effective Date occurs, Angeion will distribute the Net Settlement Fund to Participating Class
25 Members *pro rata* based on Plaintiffs’ experts’ assessment of the data produced by Google in this
26 Action.

1 58. Settlement Payments will be sent to Participating Class Members no later than one
2 hundred twenty (120) days following the Effective Date. Participating Class Members will receive
3 their portion of the Net Settlement Fund by the payment method indicated in the Payment Form. If
4 no payment method preference was indicated, the Participating Class Member will receive the
5 default payment method (i.e., virtual pre-paid Mastercard). Angeion will conduct additional outreach
6 to those Participating Class Members who fail to negotiate their Settlement Payments within a
7 reasonable time.

8 59. Further distributions to Participating Class Members will be conducted in accordance
9 with the Allocation Method set forth in the Notice, or in accordance with another allocation method
10 approved by the Court.

11 **FRAUD DETECTION**

12 60. Angeion has developed and deployed a real-time fraud detection system,
13 AngeionAffirm, which is the first and only comprehensive solution to identify fraud in real time
14 based on both state-of-the-art technology and analysis of over a decade of historical claims data.
15 AngeionAffirm was developed to combat the rising tide of fraudulent claims in class action
16 settlements and the increasingly sophisticated technologies and techniques used by fraudulent actors
17 in their attempt to perpetuate fraud and will be implemented to detect fraudulent Payment Form
18 submissions in this Settlement.

19 61. Courts have recognized the success of AngeionAffirm. By way of example, in the
20 Court's July 26, 2024, Report and Recommendation, United States Magistrate Judge Stewart D.
21 Aaron stated, "The Court finds that the claims process administered by Angeion has integrity and
22 has been carried out in a diligent and thorough manner...Based upon the Court's review of the
23 record, the Court finds that Angeion has taken prudent and necessary steps to address the fraudulent
24 claims submitted in this case... Angeion's fraud detection system is robust and appropriately
25 designed to weed out fraudulent claims." (*See In re: Novartis and Par Antitrust Litigation*, No. 1:18-
26 cv-04361-AKH-SDA, S.D.N.Y, Report and Recommendation, Dkt. 667).

CLAIMS RATE ANALYSIS

62. Pursuant to the Northern District of California's Procedural Guidance for Class Action Settlements, Angeion is required to provide an estimate of the expected claims rate in light of its experience and comparable settlements. To evaluate the potential claims rate in the instant Settlement, Angeion focused its analysis on *In re: Google Plus Profile Litigation*, No. 5:18-cv-06164 (N.D. Cal.) ("*Google Plus*")⁸, and *AdTrader Inc. et al. v. Google LLC*, No. 5:17-cv-07082 (N.D. Cal.) ("*AdTrader*"). These two settlements involved class sizes in the millions and involved the same Defendant, which offers meaningful perspective when evaluating the instant Settlement.

63. Eligible claimants in *Google Plus* received the same payment amount, which was calculated on a *pro rata* basis by equally dividing the net settlement fund by the number of valid claims. The claims rate in *Google Plus* was approximately 1.13%.

64. The payments issued to eligible claimants in *AdTrader* varied and were calculated based on each claimant's proportional advertisement spend. The total number of claim submissions received resulted in an approximate 8.36% claims rate. However, after validation and eligibility audits were performed, the percentage of valid claims relative to the class size resulted in a valid claims rate of under 1%.

65. Angeion also evaluated the claims rate in *Cameron v. Apple, Inc.*, No. 4:19-cv-03074 (N.D. Cal.) ("*Apple*") which similarly involved a tech savvy class (iOS small developers). The claims rate for all claims received was over 20%, however, the valid claims rate was approximately 13.25%. While there are some meaningful differences between the *Apple* settlement and the Settlement in this Action, the claims rate is instructive in showing the variability across settlements.⁹

66. In light of the above analysis, we believe that the claims rate will likely be in the 3-5% range of Class Members, with a slightly lower rate expected for valid Payment Forms.

⁸ *Google Plus* was presided over by the Honorable Edward J. Davila.

⁹ For example, the settlement class in *Apple* was comprised of approximately 67,440 app developers and alleged violation of antitrust laws.

1 **NOTICE & SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION COST ESTIMATE**

2 67. Based on its experience administering similar class action settlements, Angeion
3 estimates that the cost to provide notice to the Classes in accordance with the Notice Plan outlined
4 herein, and to provide Settlement administration services pursuant to the terms of the Settlement will
5 be approximately \$897,972.¹⁰ The pricing details comprising this estimate are competitively
6 sensitive. Upon request, Angeion will provide its itemized estimate to the Court for *in camera*
7 review.

8 68. Angeion believes that the estimated costs for the notice and administration of the
9 Settlement (to be paid from the Settlement Amount) is reasonable in relation to the value of the \$100
10 million Settlement. Accordingly, the estimated notice and administration costs represent less than
11 1% of the Settlement Amount. *See, e.g., Google Plus*, Dkt. 129 (Angeion's costs of \$2,179,015.20
12 represent 29% of the \$7.5 settlement); *AdTrader*, Dkt. 406 (Angeion's costs of \$251,000 represent
13 3.6% of the \$7 million settlement).

14 **CONCLUSION**

15 69. The Notice Plan outlined above includes direct notice to all reasonably identifiable
16 Class Members via email and First-Class mail combined with state-of-the-art digital media campaign
17 and the implementation of a dedicated Settlement Website and toll-free telephone hotline to further
18 inform Class Members of their rights and options in the Settlement.

19 70. It is my professional opinion that the Notice Plan will provide full and proper notice
20 of the Settlement to Class Members before any applicable deadlines for requesting exclusion from
21 the Classes, submitting objections, and submitting a Payment Form, and that the Notice Plan is the
22 best notice that is practicable under the circumstances, will fulfill all due process requirements, fully
23 comporting with Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 and the Northern District of California's Procedural Guidance
24 for Class Action Settlements.

25 _____
26 ¹⁰ The estimate is premised on certain specifications, such as the approximate size of the Classes,
27 manner of disseminating notice, and includes the costs associated with issuing Settlement Payments
28 in a single distribution. Deviations from these specifications and corresponding assumptions may
result in additional costs, as the estimate is premised on the underlying assumptions.

1 I hereby declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

2 Dated: March 27, 2025

3 
4 STEVEN WEISBROT
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

Exhibit A



INNOVATION

IT'S PART OF OUR DNA

Class Action Administration | Mass Arbitration Administration
Mass Tort Services | Regulatory Remediation

Judicial Recognition



IN RE: NOVARTIS AND PAR ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 1:18-cv-04361-AKH-SDA (S.D.N.Y.)

The Honorable Stewart D. Aaron, United States Magistrate Judge, Southern District of New York (July 26, 2024): The Court finds that the claims process administered by Angeion has integrity and has been carried out in a diligent and thorough manner...Based upon the Court's review of the record, the Court finds that **Angeion has taken prudent and necessary steps to address the fraudulent claims submitted in this case... Angeion's fraud detection system is robust and appropriately designed to weed out fraudulent claims.**

IN RE: FACEBOOK, INC. CONSUMER PRIVACY USER PROFILE LITIGATION

Case No. 3:18-md-02843 (N.D. Cal.)

Meta agreed to pay \$725 million to settle allegations that the social media company allowed third parties, including Cambridge Analytica, to access personal information. Angeion undertook an integrated in-app notification and media campaign to a class in the hundreds of millions of individuals and processed 28.6 million claims, the most claims filed in the history of class action. In fact, during the September 7, 2023 Final Approval Hearing, U.S. District Judge Chhabria acknowledged the record number of claims filed, stating, **"I was kind of blown away by how many people made claims."**

BRAUN v. THE PHILADELPHIA INQUIRER, LLC

Case No. 2:22-cv-04185 (E.D. Pa.)

The Honorable John M. Younge (August 8, 2024): 16. The proposed form and manner of notice to members of the Settlement Class set forth in the Weisbrot Declaration...along with the proposed methods of dissemination of notice described therein, satisfy the requirements of Rule 23(e) and due process, are otherwise fair and reasonable, and therefore are approved.

GUIDA v. GAIA, INC.

Case No. 1:22-cv-02350 (D. Colo.)

The Honorable Gordon P. Gallagher (July 19, 2024): The Court has carefully considered the forms and methods of notice to the Settlement Class set forth in the Settlement ("Notice Plan"). The Court finds that the Notice Plan constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and fully satisfies the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the requirements of due process, and the requirements of any other applicable law...The Court further finds that the Notice constitutes valid, due, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto, and meets the requirements of Due Process. Accordingly, the Court finds that no notice other than that specifically identified in the Settlement is necessary in this Action.

FERNANDEZ v. CORELOGIC CREDCO, LLC

Case No. 3:20-cv-01262 (S.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Jeffrey T. Miller (June 20, 2024): The court approved notice of this class action and proposed settlement in the June 16, 2024, Preliminary Approval Order. The Agreement called for sending the Notice directly to class members through email ("email notice") and/or via U.S. Mail. ("notice packet"). In support of his Motions, Plaintiff has filed the Declaration of Lacey Rose, who is employed as a "Senior Project Manager with Angeion," and the Declaration of Steven Weisbrot, the President and Chief Executive Officer of Angeion, the Settlement Administrator retained in this matter. See generally, Doc. No. 316-5, Doc. No. 329. Both declarations detail the actions taken by the Administrator...Accordingly, **the court determines that the Notice in the case was copious, impressive, more than adequate**, and satisfied both the requirements of Rule 23 and due process, giving the settlement class members adequate notice of the Settlement.

JONES v. VARSITY BRANDS, LLC

Case No. 2:20-cv-02892 (W.D. Tenn.)

The Honorable Sheryl H. Lipman (June 18, 2024): Indirect Purchasers have retained Angeion to serve as Settlement Administrator...*Angeion has designed a multi-layered sophisticated plan* using a combination of Internet, email, publication, social media...The Notice Plan adequately apprises all potential class members of the terms of the Settlement Agreement, provides the opportunity to make informed decisions, and comports with due process.

SALINAS v. BLOCK, INC.

Case No. 3:22-cv-04823 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Sallie Kim (June 3, 2024): The Court...(b) finds and determines that emailing the Summary Notice, reminder emails to Class Members (if available), and publication of the Settlement Agreement, Long Form Notice, Summary Notice, and Claim Form on the Settlement Website, supplemented by any social media and print media advertisements deemed appropriate by the Parties (i) constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (ii) constitutes notice that is reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members of the pendency of the Action...(iii) constitutes due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled to receive notice of the proposed Settlement; and (iv) satisfies the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the Constitution of the United States (including the Due Process Clause), and all other applicable laws and rules.

ESPOSITO v. CELLCO PARTNERSHIP D/B/A VERIZON WIRELESS

Case No. MID-L-006360-23 (N.J. Super. Ct.)

The Honorable Ana C. Viscomi (April 26, 2024): The Court finds that such Notice program, including the approved forms of notice: (a) constituted the best notice that is practicable under the circumstances; (b) included direct individual notice to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, as well as appropriate reminder notices; (c) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members...(d) constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice; and (e) met all applicable requirements of N.J. Ct. R. R. 4:32-1 and 4:32-2, Due Process under the U.S. Constitution, and any other applicable law.

KUKORINIS v. WALMART, INC.

Case No. 8:22-cv-02402 (M.D. Fla.)

The Honorable Virginia M. Hernandez Covington (January 19, 2024): The Notice Plan, including the form of the notices and methods for notifying the Settlement Class of the Settlement and its terms and conditions...a. meet the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (including Rule 23 (c)-(e)), the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), and the Rules of this Court; b. constitute the best notice to Settlement Class Members practicable under the circumstances...

LE v. ZUFFA, LLC

Case No. 2:15-cv-01045 (D. Nev.)

The Honorable Richard F. Boulware, II (November 17, 2023): The proposed Notice Plan, including the proposed forms and manner of notice, constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and satisfies the requirements of due process and Rules 23(c)(2) and 23(e)(1) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

IN RE: KIA HYUNDAI VEHICLE THEFT MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 8:22-ml-03052 (C.D. Cal.)

The Honorable James V. Selna (October 31, 2023): The Court has considered the form and content of the Class notice program and finds that the Class notice program and methodology as described in the Settlement

Agreement (a) meet the requirements of due process and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(c) and (e); (b) constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances to all persons entitled to notice; and (c) satisfies the constitutional requirements regarding notice.

AMANS v. TESLA, INC.

Case No. 3:21-cv-03577 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Vince Chhabria (October 20, 2023): The Court further finds that the Notice is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and that the Notice complies fully with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court also finds that the Notice constitutes valid, due, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto, and meets the requirements of Due Process. The Court further finds that the Notice is reasonably calculated, under all circumstances, to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of this case, the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the right to object to the Settlement, and the right to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class.

IN RE: PHILLIPS RECALLED CPAP, BI-LEVEL PAP, AND MECHANICAL VENTILATOR PRODUCTS LITIGATION

Case No. 2:21-mc-01230 (MDL No. 3014) (W.D. Pa.)

The Honorable Joy Flowers Conti (October 10, 2023): The Court finds that the method of giving notice to the Settlement Class ("Notice Plan")...(a) constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, (b) are reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action, the terms and benefits of the proposed Settlement...(c) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members and any other persons entitled to receive notice, (d) meet all applicable requirements of law, including, but not limited to, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, Rule 23(c), the Due Process Clause(s) of the United States Constitution, and any other applicable laws...

IN RE: AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING FOAMS PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 2:18-mn-02873 (D.S.C.)

The Honorable Richard Mark Gergel (August 29, 2023): The Court also approves the proposed Notice Plan set forth in Exhibit C to the Settlement Agreement...The proposed Notice Plan is the best practicable notice under the circumstances of this case; is reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise potential Class Members of the Settlement Agreement and of their right to object to or exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement Class; is reasonable and constitutes due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled to receive it; and meets all applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the United States Constitution, and other applicable laws and rules.

LUNDY v. META PLATFORMS, INC.

Case No. 3:18-cv-06793 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable James Donato (April 26, 2023): For purposes of Rule 23(e), the Notice Plan submitted with the Motion for Preliminary Approval and the forms of notice attached thereto are approved...The form, content, and method of giving notice to the Settlement Class as described in the Notice Plan submitted with the Motion for Preliminary Approval are accepted at this time as practicable and reasonable in light of the rather unique circumstances of this case.

IN RE: FACEBOOK INTERNET TRACKING LITIGATION

Case No. 5:12-md-02314 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Edward J. Davila (November 10, 2022): The Court finds that Plaintiffs' notice meets all applicable requirements of due process and is particularly impressed with Plaintiffs' methodology and use of technology to reach as many Class Members as possible. Based upon the foregoing, the Court finds that the Settlement Class has been provided adequate notice.

MEHTA v. ROBINHOOD FINANCIAL LLC

Case No. 5:21-cv-01013 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Susan van Keulen (August 29, 2022): The proposed notice plan, which includes direct notice via email, will provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances. This plan and the Notice are reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Class Members...The plan and the Notice constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to Class Members and satisfy the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, due process, and all other applicable laws and rules.

IN RE: TIKTOK, INC., CONSUMER PRIVACY LITIGATION

Case No. 1:20-cv-04699 (N.D. Ill.)

The Honorable John Z. Lee (August 22, 2022): The Class Notice was disseminated in accordance with the procedures required by the Court's Order Granting Preliminary Approval...in accordance with applicable law, satisfied the requirements of Rule 23(e) and due process, and constituted the best notice practicable...

ADTRADER, INC. v. GOOGLE LLC

Case No. 5:17-cv-07082 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Beth L. Freeman (May 13, 2022): The Court approves, as to form, content, and distribution, the Notice Plan set forth in the Settlement Agreement, including the Notice Forms attached to the Weisbrot Declaration, subject to the Court's one requested change as further described in Paragraph 8 of this Order, and finds that such Notice is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and that the Notice complies fully with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court further finds that the Notice is reasonably calculated to, under all circumstances, reasonably apprise members...The Court also finds that the Notice constitutes valid, due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto, and meets the requirements of Due Process. The Court further finds that the Notice Plan fully complies with the Northern District of California's Procedural Guidance for Class Action Settlements.

CITY OF LONG BEACH v. MONSANTO COMPANY

Case No. 2:16-cv-03493 (C.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Fernando M. Olguin (March 14, 2022): The court approves the form, substance, and requirements of the class Notice, (Dkt.278-2, Settlement Agreement, Exh. I). The proposed manner of notice of the settlement set forth in the Settlement Agreement constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and complies with the requirements of due process.

STEWART v. LEXISNEXIS RISK DATA RETRIEVAL SERVICES, LLC

Case No. 3:20-cv-00903 (E.D. Va.)

The Honorable John A. Gibney Jr. (February 25, 2022): The proposed forms and methods for notifying the proposed Settlement Class Members of the Settlement and its terms and conditions meet the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B) and due process, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to notice...Based on the foregoing, the Court hereby approves the notice plans developed by the Parties and the Settlement Administrator and directs that they be implemented according to the Agreement and the notice plans attached as exhibits.

WILLIAMS v. APPLE INC.

Case No. 3:19-cv-04700 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Laurel Beeler (February 24, 2022): The Court finds the Email Notice and Website Notice (attached to the Agreement as Exhibits 1 and 4, respectively), and their manner of transmission, implemented pursuant to the Agreement (a) are the best practicable notice, (b) are reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Subscriber Class of the pendency of the Action and of their right to object to or to exclude themselves

from the proposed settlement, (c) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice, and (d) meet all requirements of applicable law.

CLEVELAND v. WHIRLPOOL CORPORATION

Case No. 0:20-cv-01906 (D. Minn.)

The Honorable Wilhelmina M. Wright (December 16, 2021): It appears to the Court that the proposed Notice Plan described herein, and detailed in the Settlement Agreement, comports with due process, Rule 23, and all other applicable law. Class Notice consists of email notice and postcard notice when email addresses are unavailable, which is the best practicable notice under the circumstances...The proposed Notice Plan complies with the requirements of Rule 23, Fed. R. Civ. P., and due process, and Class Notice is to be sent to the Settlement Class Members as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and pursuant to the deadlines above.

RASMUSSEN v. TESLA, INC. D/B/A TESLA MOTORS, INC.

Case No. 5:19-cv-04596 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Beth Labson Freeman (December 10, 2021): The Court has carefully considered the forms and methods of notice to the Settlement Class set forth in the Settlement Agreement ("Notice Plan"). The Court finds that the Notice Plan constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and fully satisfies the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the requirements of due process, and the requirements of any other applicable law, such that the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the releases provided for therein, and this Court's final judgment will be binding on all Settlement Class Members.

CAMERON v. APPLE INC.

Case No. 4:19-cv-03074 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers (November 16, 2021): The parties' proposed notice plan appears to be constitutionally sound in that plaintiffs have made a sufficient showing that it is: (i) the best notice practicable; (ii) reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Class members of the proposed settlement and of their right to object or to exclude themselves as provided in the settlement agreement; (iii) reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice; and (iv) meet all applicable requirements of due process and any other applicable requirements under federal law.

RISTO v. SCREEN ACTORS GUILD - AMERICAN FEDERATION OF TELEVISION AND RADIO ARTISTS

Case No. 2:18-cv-07241 (C.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Christina A. Snyder (November 12, 2021): The Court approves the publication notice plan presented to this Court as it will provide notice to potential class members through a combination of traditional and digital media that will consist of publication of notice via press release, programmatic display digital advertising, and targeted social media, all of which will direct Class Members to the Settlement website...The notice plan satisfies any due process concerns as this Court certified the class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1)...

JENKINS v. NATIONAL GRID USA SERVICE COMPANY, INC.

Case No. 2:15-cv-01219 (E.D.N.Y.)

The Honorable Joanna Seybert (November 8, 2021): Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(e)(1) and 23(c)(2)(B), the Court approves the proposed Notice Plan and procedures set forth at Section 8 of the Settlement...The Court finds that the proposed Notice Plan meets the requirements of due process under the United States Constitution and Rule 23, and that such Notice Plan—which includes direct notice to Settlement Class Members sent via first class U.S. Mail and email; the establishment of a Settlement Website (at the URL, www.nationalgridtcpasettlement.com) where Settlement Class Members can view the full settlement agreement, the detailed long-form notice (in English and Spanish), and other key case documents; publication notice in forms attached as Exhibits E and F to

the Settlement sent via social media (Facebook and Instagram) and streaming radio (e.g., Pandora and iHeart Radio). The Notice Plan shall also include a paid search campaign on search engine(s) chosen by Angeion (e.g., Google) in the form attached as Exhibits G and the establishment of a toll-free telephone number where Settlement Class Members can get additional information—is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

NELLIS v. VIVID SEATS, LLC

Case No. 1:20-cv-02486 (N.D. Ill.)

The Honorable Robert M. Dow, Jr. (November 1, 2021): The Notice Program, together with all included and ancillary documents thereto, (a) constituted reasonable notice; (b) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Litigation...(c) constituted reasonable, due, adequate and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled to receive notice; and (d) met all applicable requirements of due process and any other applicable law. The Court finds that Settlement Class Members have been provided the best notice practicable of the Settlement and that such notice fully satisfies all requirements of law as well as all requirements of due process.

PELLETIER v. ENDO INTERNATIONAL PLC

Case No. 2:17-cv-05114 (E.D. Pa.)

The Honorable Michael M. Baylson (October 25, 2021): The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Pendency and Proposed Settlement of Class Action (the "Notice"), the Proof of Claim and Release form (the "Proof of Claim"), and the Summary Notice, annexed hereto as Exhibits A-1, A-2, and A-3, respectively, and finds that the mailing and distribution of the Notice and publishing of the Summary Notice, substantially in the manner and form set forth in ¶¶7-10 of this Order, meet the requirements of Rule 23 and due process, and is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled thereto.

BIEGEL v. BLUE DIAMOND GROWERS

Case No. 7:20-cv-03032 (S.D.N.Y.)

The Honorable Cathy Seibel (October 25, 2021): The Court finds that the Notice Plan, set forth in the Settlement Agreement and effectuated pursuant to the Preliminary Approval Order: (i) was the best notice practicable under the circumstances; (ii) was reasonably calculated to provide, and did provide, due and sufficient notice to the Settlement Class regarding the existence and nature of the Action...and (iii) satisfied the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the United States Constitution, and all other applicable law.

QUINTERO v. SAN DIEGO ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

Case No. 37-2019-00017834-CU-NP-CTL (Cal. Super. Ct.)

The Honorable Eddie C. Sturgeon (September 27, 2021): The Court has reviewed the class notices for the Settlement Class and the methods for providing notice and has determined that the parties will employ forms and methods of notice that constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances; are reasonably calculated to apprise class members of the terms of the Settlement and of their right to participate in it, object, or opt-out; are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice; and meet all constitutional and statutory requirements, including all due process requirements and the California Rules of Court.

HOLVE v. MCCORMICK & COMPANY, INC.

Case No. 6:16-cv-06702 (W.D.N.Y.)

The Honorable Mark W. Pedersen (September 23, 2021): The Court finds that the form, content and method of giving notice to the Class as described in the Settlement Agreement and the Declaration of the Settlement Administrator: (a) will constitute the best practicable notice; (b) are reasonably calculated, under the

circumstances, to apprise the Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action...(c) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members and other persons entitled to receive notice; and (d) meet all applicable requirements of law, including but not limited to 28 U.S.C. § 1715, Rule 23(c) and (e), and the Due Process Clause(s) of the United States Constitution.

CULBERTSON v. DELOITTE CONSULTING LLP

Case No. 1:20-cv-03962 (S.D.N.Y.)

The Honorable Lewis J. Liman (August 27, 2021): The notice procedures described in the Notice Plan are hereby found to be the best means of providing notice under the circumstances and, when completed, shall constitute due and sufficient notice of the proposed Settlement Agreement and the Final Approval Hearing to all persons affected by and/or entitled to participate in the Settlement Agreement, in full compliance with the notice requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process of law.

PULMONARY ASSOCIATES OF CHARLESTON PLLC v. GREENWAY HEALTH, LLC

Case No. 3:19-cv-00167 (N.D. Ga.)

The Honorable Timothy C. Batten, Sr. (August 24, 2021): Under Rule 23(c)(2), the Court finds that the content, format, and method of disseminating Notice, as set forth in the Motion, the Declaration of Steven Weisbrot filed on July 2, 2021, and the Settlement Agreement and Release, including notice by First Class U.S. Mail and email to all known Class Members, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances and satisfies all requirements provided in Rule 23(c)(2)(B) and due process.

IN RE: BROILER CHICKEN GROWER ANTITRUST LITIGATION (NO II)

Case No. 6:20-md-02977 (E.D. Okla.)

The Honorable Robert J. Shelby (August 23, 2021): The Court approves the method of notice to be provided to the Settlement Class as set forth in Plaintiffs' Motion and Memorandum of Law in Support of Motion for Approval of the Form and Manner of Class Notice and Appointment of Settlement Administrator and Request for Expedited Treatment and the Declaration of Steven Weisbrot on Angeion Group Qualifications and Proposed Notice Plan...The Court finds and concludes that such notice: (a) is the best notice that is practicable under the circumstances, and is reasonably calculated to reach the members of the Settlement Class and to apprise them of the Action, the terms and conditions of the Settlement, their right to opt out and be excluded from the Settlement Class, and to object to the Settlement; and (b) meets the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and due process.

ROBERTS v. AT&T MOBILITY, LLC

Case No. 3:15-cv-03418 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Edward M. Chen (August 20, 2021): The Court finds that such Notice program, including the approved forms of notice: (a) constituted the best notice that is practicable under the circumstances; (b) included direct individual notice to all Settlement Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, as well as supplemental notice via a social media notice campaign and reminder email and SMS notices; (c) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of the nature of this Action ...(d) constituted due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice; and (e) met all applicable requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, Due Process under the U.S. Constitution, and any other applicable law.

PYGIN v. BOMBAS, LLC

Case No. 4:20-cv-04412 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Jeffrey S. White (July 12, 2021): The Court also concludes that the Class Notice and Notice Program set forth in the Settlement Agreement satisfy the requirements of due process and Rule 23 and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Class Notice and Notice Program are reasonably

calculated to apprise Settlement Class Members of the nature of this Litigation, the Scope of the Settlement Class, the terms of the Settlement Agreement, the right of Settlement Class Members to object to the Settlement Agreement or exclude themselves from the Settlement Class and the process for doing so, and of the Final Approval Hearing. Accordingly, the Court approves the Class Notice and Notice Program and the Claim Form.

WILLIAMS v. RECKITT BENCKISER LLC

Case No. 1:20-cv-23564 (S.D. Fla.)

The Honorable Jonathan Goodman (April 23, 2021): The Court approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice and Internet Notice submitted by the parties (Exhibits B and D to the Settlement Agreement or Notices substantially similar thereto) and finds that the procedures described therein meet the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process, and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The proposed Class Notice Plan -- consisting of (i) internet and social media notice; and (ii) notice via an established a Settlement Website -- is reasonably calculated to reach no less than 80% of the Settlement Class Members.

IN RE: APPLE INC. DEVICE PERFORMANCE LITIGATION

Case No. 5:18-md-02827 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Edward J. Davila (March 17, 2021): Angeion undertook a comprehensive notice campaign...The notice program was well executed, far-reaching, and exceeded both Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23(c)(2)(B)'s requirement to provide the "best notice that is practicable under the circumstances" and Rule 23(e)(1)(B)'s requirement to provide "direct notice in a reasonable manner."

IN RE: GOOGLE PLUS PROFILE LITIGATION

Case No. 5:18-cv-06164 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Edward J. Davila (January 25, 2021): The Court further finds that the program for disseminating notice to Settlement Class Members provided for in the Settlement, and previously approved and directed by the Court (hereinafter, the "Notice Program"), has been implemented by the Settlement Administrator and the Parties, and such Notice Program, including the approved forms of notice, is reasonable and appropriate and satisfies all applicable due process and other requirements, and constitutes best notice reasonably calculated under the circumstances to apprise Settlement Class Members.

NELSON v. IDAHO CENTRAL CREDIT UNION

Case No. CV03-20-00831, CV03-20-03221 (Idaho Jud. Dist.)

The Honorable Robert C. Naftz (January 19, 2021): The Court finds that the Proposed Notice here is tailored to this Class and designed to ensure broad and effective reach to it...The Parties represent that the operative notice plan is the best notice practicable and is reasonably designed to reach the settlement class members. The Court agrees.

IN RE: HANNA ANDERSSON AND SALESFORCE.COM DATA BREACH LITIGATION

Case No. 3:20-cv-00812 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Edward M. Chen (December 29, 2020): The Court finds that the Class Notice and Notice Program satisfy the requirements of due process and Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances.

IN RE: PEANUT FARMERS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 2:19-cv-00463 (E.D. Va.)

The Honorable Raymond A. Jackson (December 23, 2020): The Court finds that the Notice Program...constitutes the best notice that is practicable under the circumstances and is valid, due and sufficient notice to all persons

entitled thereto and complies fully with the requirements of Rule 23(c)(2) and the due process requirements of the Constitution of the United States.

BENTLEY v. LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.

Case No. 2:19-cv-13554 (D.N.J.)

The Honorable Madeline Cox Arleo (December 18, 2020): The Court finds that notice of this Settlement was given to Settlement Class Members in accordance with the Preliminary Approval Order and constituted the best notice practicable of the proceedings and matters set forth therein, including the Litigation, the Settlement, and the Settlement Class Members' rights to object to the Settlement or opt out of the Settlement Class, to all Persons entitled to such notice, and that this notice satisfied the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and of due process.

IN RE: ALLURA FIBER CEMENT SIDING PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

Case No. 2:19-mn-02886 (D.S.C.)

The Honorable David C. Norton (December 18, 2020): The proposed Notice provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances. It allows Settlement Class Members a full and fair opportunity to consider the proposed settlement. The proposed plan for distributing the Notice likewise is a reasonable method calculated to reach all members of the Settlement Class who would be bound by the settlement. There is no additional method of distribution that would be reasonably likely to notify Settlement Class Members who may not receive notice pursuant to the proposed distribution plan.

ADKINS v. FACEBOOK, INC.

Case No. 3:18-cv-05982 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable William Alsup (November 15, 2020): Notice to the class is "reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise interested parties of the pendency of the action and afford them an opportunity to present their objections." *Mullane v. Central Hanover Bank & Tr. Co.*, 399 U.S. 306, 314 (1965).

IN RE: 21ST CENTURY ONCOLOGY CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION

Case No. 8:16-md-02737 (M.D. Fla.)

The Honorable Mary S. Scriven (November 2, 2020): The Court finds and determines that mailing the Summary Notice and publication of the Settlement Agreement, Long Form Notice, Summary Notice, and Claim Form on the Settlement Website, all pursuant to this Order, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constitute due and sufficient notice of the matters set forth in the notices to all persons entitled to receive such notices, and fully satisfies the of due process, Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, 28 U.S.C. § 1715, and all other applicable laws and rules. The Court further finds that all of the notices are written in plain language and are readily understandable by Class Members.

MARINO v. COACH INC.

Case No. 1:16-cv-01122 (S.D.N.Y.)

The Honorable Valerie Caproni (August 24, 2020): The Court finds that the form, content, and method of giving notice to the Settlement Class as described in paragraph 8 of this Order: (a) will constitute the best practicable notice; (b) are reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action, the terms of the proposed Settlement, and their rights under the proposed Settlement, including but not limited to their rights to object to or exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement and other rights under the terms of the Settlement Agreement; (c) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members and other persons entitled to receive notice; and (d) meet all applicable requirements of law, including but not limited to 28 U.S.C. § 1715, Rule 23(c) and (e), and the Due Process Clause(s) of the United States Constitution. The Court further finds that all of the notices are written in

plain language, are readily understandable by Settlement Class Members, and are materially consistent with the Federal Judicial Center's illustrative class action notices.

BROWN v. DIRECTV, LLC

Case No. 2:13-cv-01170 (C.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Dolly M. Gee (July 23, 2020): Given the nature and size of the class, the fact that the class has no geographical limitations, and the sheer number of calls at issue, the Court determines that these methods constitute the best and most reasonable form of notice under the circumstances.

IN RE: SSA BONDS ANTITRUST LITIGATION

Case No. 1:16-cv-03711 (S.D.N.Y.)

The Honorable Edgardo Ramos (July 15, 2020): The Court finds that the mailing and distribution of the Notice and the publication of the Summary Notice substantially in the manner set forth below meet the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and due process and constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled to notice.

KJESSLER v. ZAAPPAAZ, INC.

Case No. 4:18-cv-00430 (S.D. Tex.)

The Honorable Nancy F. Atlas (July 14, 2020): The Court also preliminarily approves the proposed manner of communicating the Notice and Summary Notice to the putative Settlement Class, as set out below, and finds it is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, constitutes due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to receive such notice, and fully satisfies the requirements of applicable laws, including due process and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23.

HESTER v. WALMART, INC.

Case No. 5:18-cv-05225 (W.D. Ark.)

The Honorable Timothy L. Brooks (July 9, 2020): The Court finds that the Notice and Notice Plan substantially in the manner and form set forth in this Order and the Agreement meet the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and due process, is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled thereto.

CLAY v. CYTOSPORT INC.

Case No. 3:15-cv-00165 (S.D. Cal.)

The Honorable M. James Lorenz (June 17, 2020): The Court approves the proposed Notice Plan for giving notice to the Settlement Class through publication, both print and digital, and through the establishment of a Settlement Website, as more fully described in the Agreement and the Claims Administrator's affidavits (docs. no. 222-9, 224, 224-1, and 232-3 through 232-6). The Notice Plan, in form, method, and content, complies with the requirements of Rule 23 and due process, and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances.

GROGAN v. AARON'S INC.

Case No. 1:18-cv-02821 (N.D. Ga.)

The Honorable J.P. Boulee (May 1, 2020): The Court finds that the Notice Plan as set forth in the Settlement Agreement meets the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including direct individual notice by mail and email to Settlement Class Members where feasible and a nationwide publication website-based notice program, as well as establishing a Settlement Website at the web address of www.AaronsTCPASettlement.com, and satisfies fully the requirements the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the U.S. Constitution, and any other applicable law, such that the Settlement Agreement and Final Order and Judgment will be binding on all Settlement Class Members.

CUMMINGS v. BOARD OF REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF NEW MEXICO

Case No. D-202-CV-2001-00579 (N.M. Jud. Dist.)

The Honorable Carl Butkus (March 30, 2020): The Court has reviewed the Class Notice, the Plan of Allocation and Distribution and Claim Form, each of which it approves in form and substance. The Court finds that the form and methods of notice set forth in the Agreement: (i) are reasonable and the best practicable notice under the circumstances; (ii) are reasonably calculated to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Lawsuit, of their rights to object to or opt-out of the Settlement, and of the Final Approval Hearing; (iii) constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice; and (iv) meet the requirements of the New Mexico Rules of Civil Procedure, the requirements of due process under the New Mexico and United States Constitutions, and the requirements of any other applicable rules or laws.

SCHNEIDER v. CHIPOTLE MEXICAN GRILL, INC.

Case No. 4:16-cv-02200 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr. (January 31, 2020): Given that direct notice appears to be infeasible, the third-party settlement administrator will implement a digital media campaign and provide for publication notice in People magazine, a nationwide publication, and the East Bay Times. SA § IV.A, C; Dkt. No. 205-12 at ¶¶ 13–23...The Court finds that the proposed notice process is “‘reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances,’ to apprise all class members of the proposed settlement.” Roes, 944 F.3d at 1045 (citation omitted).

HANLEY v. TAMPA BAY SPORTS AND ENTERTAINMENT LLC

Case No. 8:19-cv-00550 (M.D. Fla.)

The Honorable Charlene Edwards Honeywell (January 7, 2020): The Court approves the form and content of the Class notices and claim forms substantially in the forms attached as Exhibits A-D to the Settlement. The Court further finds that the Class Notice program described in the Settlement is the best practicable under the circumstances. The Class Notice program is reasonably calculated under the circumstances to inform the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Action, certification of a Settlement Class, the terms of the Settlement, Class Counsel’s attorney’s fees application and the request for a service award for Plaintiff, and their rights to opt-out of the Settlement Class or object to the Settlement. The Class notices and Class Notice program constitute sufficient notice to all persons entitled to notice. The Class notices and Class Notice program satisfy all applicable requirements of law, including, but not limited to, Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and the Constitutional requirement of Due Process.

CORCORAN v. CVS HEALTH

Case No. 4:15-cv-03504 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Yvonne Gonzalez Rogers (November 22, 2019): Having reviewed the parties’ briefings, plaintiffs’ declarations regarding the selection process for a notice provider in this matter and regarding Angeion Group LLC’s experience and qualifications, and in light of defendants’ non-opposition, the Court APPROVES Angeion Group LLC as the notice provider...Having considered the parties’ revised proposed notice program, the Court agrees that the parties’ proposed notice program is the “best notice that is practicable under the circumstances.” The Court is satisfied with the representations made regarding Angeion Group LLC’s methods for ascertaining email addresses from existing information in the possession of defendants. Rule 23 further contemplates and permits electronic notice to class members in certain situations. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B).

PATORA v. TARTE, INC.

Case No. 7:18-cv-11760 (S.D.N.Y.)

The Honorable Kenneth M. Karas (October 2, 2019): The Court finds that the form, content, and method of giving notice to the Class as described in Paragraph 9 of this Order: (a) will constitute the best practicable notice; (b) are reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Settlement Class Members...(c) are reasonable

and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Settlement Class Members and other persons entitled to receive notice; and (d) meet all applicable requirements of law, including but not limited to 28 U.S.C. § 1715, Rule 23(c) and (e), and the Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution. The Court further finds that all of the notices are written in simple terminology, are readily understandable by Settlement Class Members, and are materially consistent with the Federal Judicial Center's illustrative class action notices.

CARTER v. GENERAL NUTRITION CENTERS, INC., AND GNC HOLDINGS, INC.

Case No. 2:16-cv-00633 (W.D. Pa.)

The Honorable Mark R. Hornak (September 9, 2019): The Court finds that the Class Notice and the manner of its dissemination described in Paragraph 7 above and Section VII of the Agreement constitutes the best practicable notice under the circumstances and is reasonably calculated, under all the circumstances, to apprise proposed Settlement Class Members of the pendency of this action, the terms of the Agreement, and their right to object to or exclude themselves from the proposed Settlement Class. The Court finds that the notice is reasonable, that it constitutes due, adequate and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice, and that it meets the requirements of due process, Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, and any other applicable laws.

CORZINE v. MAYTAG CORPORATION

Case No. 5:15-cv-05764 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Beth L. Freeman (August 21, 2019): The Court, having reviewed the proposed Summary Notice, the proposed FAQ, the proposed Publication Notice, the proposed Claim Form, and the proposed plan for distributing and disseminating each of them, finds and concludes that the proposed plan will provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and satisfies all requirements of federal and state laws and due process.

MEDNICK v. PRECOR, INC.

Case No. 1:14-cv-03624 (N.D. Ill.)

The Honorable Harry D. Leinenweber (June 12, 2019): Notice provided to Class Members pursuant to the Preliminary Class Settlement Approval Order constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual email and mail notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, including information provided by authorized third-party retailers of Precor. Said notice provided full and adequate notice of these proceedings and of the matter set forth therein, including the proposed Settlement set forth in the Agreement, to all persons entitled to such notice, and said notice fully satisfied the requirements of F.R.C.P. Rule 23 (e) and (h) and the requirements of due process under the United States and California Constitutions.

GONZALEZ v. TCR SPORTS BROADCASTING HOLDING LLP

Case No. 1:18-cv-20048 (S.D. Fla.)

The Honorable Darrin P. Gayles (May 24, 2019): The Court finds that notice to the class was reasonable and the best notice practicable under the circumstances, consistent with Rule 23(e)(1) and Rule 23(c)(2)(B).

ANDREWS v. THE GAP, INC.

Case No. CGC-18-567237 (Cal. Super. Ct.)

The Honorable Richard B. Ulmer Jr. (May 10, 2019): The Court finds that (a) the Full Notice, Email Notice, and Publication constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, (b) they constitute valid, due, and sufficient notice to all members of the Class, and (c) they comply fully with the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, the California and United States Constitutions, and other applicable law.

COLE v. NIBCO, INC.**Case No. 3:13-cv-07871 (D.N.J.)**

The Honorable Freda L. Wolfson (April 11, 2019): The record shows, and the Court finds, that the Notice Plan has been implemented in the manner approved by the Court in its Preliminary Approval Order. The Court finds that the Notice Plan constitutes: (i) the best notice practicable to the Settlement Class under the circumstances; (ii) was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise the Settlement Class of the pendency of this..., (iii) due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all Persons entitled to receive notice; and (iv) notice that fully satisfies the requirements of the United States Constitution (including the Due Process Clause), Fed. R. Civ. P. 23, and any other applicable law.

DIFRANCESCO v. UTZ QUALITY FOODS, INC.**Case No. 1:14-cv-14744 (D. Mass.)**

The Honorable Douglas P. Woodlock (March 15, 2019): The Court finds that the Notice plan and all forms of Notice to the Class as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Exhibits 2 and 6 thereto, as amended (the "Notice Program"), is reasonably calculated to, under all circumstances, apprise the members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of this action, the certification of the Settlement Class, the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and the right of members to object to the settlement or to exclude themselves from the Class. The Notice Program is consistent with the requirements of Rule 23 and due process, and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances.

IN RE: CHRYSLER-DODGE-JEEP ECODIESEL MARKETING, SALES PRACTICES, AND PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION**Case No. 3:17-md-02777 (N.D. Cal.)**

The Honorable Edward M. Chen (February 11, 2019): Also, the parties went through a sufficiently rigorous selection process to select a settlement administrator. See Proc. Guidance for Class Action Sett. ¶ 2; see also Cabraser Decl. ¶¶ 9-10. While the settlement administration costs are significant – an estimated \$1.5 million – they are adequately justified given the size of the class and the relief being provided.

In addition, the Court finds that the language of the class notices (short and long-form) is appropriate and that the means of notice – which includes mail notice, electronic notice, publication notice, and social media "marketing" – is the "best notice...practicable under the circumstances." Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B); see also Proc. Guidance for Class Action Sett. ¶¶ 3-5, 9 (addressing class notice, opt-outs, and objections). The Court notes that the means of notice has changed somewhat, as explained in the Supplemental Weisbrot Declaration filed on February 8, 2019, so that notice will be more targeted and effective. See generally Docket No. 525 (Supp. Weisbrot Decl.) (addressing, inter alia, press release to be distributed via national newswire service, digital and social media marketing designed to enhance notice, and "reminder" first-class mail notice when AEM becomes available).

Finally, the parties have noted that the proposed settlement bears similarity to the settlement in the Volkswagen MDL. See Proc. Guidance for Class Action Sett. ¶ 11.

RYSEWYK v. SEARS HOLDINGS CORPORATION**Case No. 1:15-cv-04519 (N.D. Ill.)**

The Honorable Manish S. Shah (January 29, 2019): The Court holds that the Notice and notice plan as carried out satisfy the requirements of Rule 23(e) and due process. This Court has previously held the Notice and notice plan to be reasonable and the best practicable under the circumstances in its Preliminary Approval Order dated August 6, 2018. (Dkt. 191) Based on the declaration of Steven Weisbrot, Esq. of Angeion Group (Dkt. No. 209-2), which sets forth compliance with the Notice Plan and related matters, the Court finds that the multi-pronged notice strategy as implemented has successfully reached the putative Settlement Class, thus constituting the best practicable notice and satisfying due process.

MAYHEW v. KAS DIRECT, LLC, AND S.C. JOHNSON & SON, INC.

Case No. 7:16-cv-06981 (S.D.N.Y.)

The Honorable Vincent J. Briccetti (June 26, 2018): In connection with their motion, plaintiffs provide the declaration of Steven Weisbrot, Esq., a principal at the firm Angeion Group, LLC, which will serve as the notice and settlement administrator in this case. (Doc. #101, Ex. F: Weisbrot Decl.) According to Mr. Weisbrot, he has been responsible for the design and implementation of hundreds of class action administration plans, has taught courses on class action claims administration, and has given testimony to the Judicial Conference Committee on Rules of Practice and Procedure on the role of direct mail, email, and digital media in due process notice. Mr. Weisbrot states that the internet banner advertisement campaign will be responsive to search terms relevant to “baby wipes, baby products, baby care products, detergents, sanitizers, baby lotion, [and] diapers,” and will target users who are currently browsing or recently browsed categories “such as parenting, toddlers, baby care, [and] organic products.” (Weisbrot Decl. ¶ 18). According to Mr. Weisbrot, the internet banner advertising campaign will reach seventy percent of the proposed class members at least three times each. (Id. ¶ 9). Accordingly, the Court approves of the manner of notice proposed by the parties as it is reasonable and the best practicable option for confirming the class members receive notice.

IN RE: OUTER BANKS POWER OUTAGE LITIGATION

Case No. 4:17-cv-00141 (E.D.N.C.)

The Honorable James C. Dever III (May 2, 2018): The court has reviewed the proposed notice plan and finds that the notice plan provides the best practicable notice under the circumstances and, when completed, shall constitute fair, reasonable, and adequate notice of the settlement to all persons and entities affected by or entitled to participate in the settlement, in full compliance with the notice requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B) and due process. Thus, the court approves the proposed notice plan.

GOLDEMBERG v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON CONSUMER COMPANIES, INC.

Case No. 7:13-cv-03073 (S.D.N.Y.)

The Honorable Nelson S. Roman (November 1, 2017): Notice of the pendency of the Action as a class action and of the proposed Settlement, as set forth in the Settlement Notices, was given to all Class Members who could be identified with reasonable effort, consistent with the terms of the Preliminary Approval Order. The form and method of notifying the Class of the pendency of the Action as a class action and of the terms and conditions of the proposed Settlement met the requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, due process, and any other applicable law in the United States. Such notice constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constituted due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled thereto.

HALVORSON v. TALENTBIN, INC.

Case No. 3:15-cv-05166 (N.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Joseph C. Spero (July 25, 2017): The Court finds that the Notice provided for in the Order of Preliminary Approval of Settlement has been provided to the Settlement Class, and the Notice provided to the Settlement Class constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and was in full compliance with the notice requirements of Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, due process, the United States Constitution, and any other applicable law.

IN RE: ASHLEY MADISON CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION

MDL No. 2669/Case No. 4:15-md-02669 (E.D. Mo.)

The Honorable John A. Ross (July 21, 2017): The Court further finds that the method of disseminating Notice, as set forth in the Motion, the Declaration of Steven Weisbrot, Esq. on Adequacy of Notice Program, dated July 13, 2017, and the Parties’ Stipulation—including an extensive and targeted publication campaign composed of both consumer magazine publications in People and Sports Illustrated, as well as serving 11,484,000 highly targeted digital banner ads to reach the prospective class members that will deliver approximately 75.3% reach with an

average frequency of 3.04 —is the best method of notice practicable under the circumstances and satisfies all requirements provided in Rule 23(c)(2)(B) and all Constitutional requirements including those of due process.

The Court further finds that the Notice fully satisfies Rule 23 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and the requirements of due process; provided, that the Parties, by agreement, may revise the Notice, the Claim Form, and other exhibits to the Stipulation, in ways that are not material or ways that are appropriate to update those documents for purposes of accuracy.

TRAXLER v. PPG INDUSTRIES INC.

Case No. 1:15-cv-00912 (N.D. Ohio)

The Honorable Dan Aaron Polster (April 27, 2017): The Court hereby approves the form and procedure for disseminating notice of the proposed settlement to the Settlement Class as set forth in the Agreement. The Court finds that the proposed Notice Plan contemplated constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances and is reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise Settlement Class Members of the pendency of the Action and their right to object to the proposed settlement or opt out of the Settlement Class in full compliance with the requirements of applicable law, including the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution and Rules 23(c) and (e). In addition, Class Notice clearly and concisely states in plain, easily understood language: (i) the nature of the action; (ii) the definition of the certified Settlement Class; (iii) the claims and issues of the Settlement Class; (iv) that a Settlement Class Member may enter an appearance through an attorney if the member so desires; (v) that the Court will exclude from the Settlement Class any member who requests exclusion; (vi) the time and manner for requesting exclusion; and (vii) the binding effect of a class judgment on members under Rule 23(c)(3).

IN RE: THE HOME DEPOT, INC., CUSTOMER DATA SECURITY BREACH LITIGATION

Case No. 1:14-md-02583 (N.D. Ga.)

The Honorable Thomas W. Thrash Jr. (March 10, 2017): The Court finds that the form, content, and method of giving notice to the settlement class as described in the settlement agreement and exhibits: (a) constitute the best practicable notice to the settlement class; (b) are reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise settlement class members of the pendency of the action, the terms of the proposed settlement, and their rights under the proposed settlement; (c) are reasonable and constitute due, adequate, and sufficient notice to those persons entitled to receive notice; and (d) satisfy the requirements of Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23, the constitutional requirement of due process, and any other legal requirements. The Court further finds that the notice is written in plain language, uses simple terminology, and is designed to be readily understandable by settlement class members.

ROY v. TITFLEX CORPORATION T/A GASTITE AND WARD MANUFACTURING, LLC

Case No. 384003V (Md. Cir. Ct.)

The Honorable Ronald B. Rubin (February 24, 2017): What is impressive to me about this settlement is in addition to all the usual recitation of road racing litanies is that there is going to be a) public notice of a real nature and b) about a matter concerning not just money but public safety and then folks will have the knowledge to decide for themselves whether to take steps to protect themselves or not. And that's probably the best thing a government can do is to arm their citizens with knowledge and then the citizens can make decision. To me that is a key piece of this deal. *I think the notice provisions are exquisite.*

IN RE: LG FRONT LOADING WASHING MACHINE CLASS ACTION LITIGATION

Case No. 2:08-cv-00051 (D.N.J.)

The Honorable Madeline Cox Arleo (June 17, 2016): This Court further approves the proposed methods for giving notice of the Settlement to the Members of the Settlement Class, as reflected in the Settlement Agreement and...finds that the Members of the Settlement Class will receive the best notice practicable under the

circumstances. The Court specifically approves the Parties' proposal to use reasonable diligence to identify potential class members and an associated mailing and/or email address in the Company's records, and their proposal to direct the ICA to use this information to send absent class members notice both via first class mail and email. The Court further approves the plan for the Publication Notice's publication in two national print magazines and on the internet. The Court also approves payment of notice costs as provided in the Settlement. The Court finds that these procedures, carried out with reasonable diligence, will constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances and will satisfy.

FENLEY v. APPLIED CONSULTANTS, INC.

Case No. 2:15-cv-00259 (W.D. Pa.)

The Honorable Mark R. Hornak (June 16, 2016): The Court would note that it approved notice provisions of the settlement agreement in the proceedings today. That was all handled by the settlement and administrator Angeion. The notices were sent. The class list utilized the Postal Service's national change of address database along with using certain proprietary and other public resources to verify addresses. the requirements of Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(c)(2), Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(e) (l), and Due Process....

The Court finds and concludes that the mechanisms and methods of notice to the class as identified were reasonably calculated to provide all notice required by the due process clause, the applicable rules and statutory provisions, and that the results of *the efforts of Angeion were highly successful and fulfilled all of those requirements.*

FUENTES v. UNIRUSH, LLC D/B/A UNIRUSH FINANCIAL SERVICES

Case No. 1:15-cv-08372 (S.D.N.Y.)

The Honorable J. Paul Oetken (May 16, 2016): The Court approves, as to form, content, and distribution, the Claim Form attached to the Settlement Agreement as Exhibit A, the Notice Plan, and all forms of Notice to the Settlement Class as set forth in the Settlement Agreement and Exhibits B-D, thereto, and finds that such Notice is the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and that the Notice complies fully with the requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The Court also finds that the Notice constitutes valid, due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto, and meets the requirements of Due Process. The Court further finds that the Notice is reasonably calculated to, under all circumstances, reasonably apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Actions, the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and the right to object to the settlement and to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class. The Parties, by agreement, may revise the Notices and Claim Form in ways that are not material, or in ways that are appropriate to update those documents for purposes of accuracy or formatting for publication.

IN RE: WHIRLPOOL CORP. FRONTLOADING WASHER PRODUCTS LIABILITY LITIGATION

MDL No. 2001/Case No. 1:08-wp-65000 (N.D. Ohio)

The Honorable Christopher A. Boyko (May 12, 2016): The Court, having reviewed the proposed Summary Notices, the proposed FAQ, the proposed Publication Notice, the proposed Claim Form, and the proposed plan for distributing and disseminating each of them, finds and concludes that the proposed plan for distributing and disseminating each of them will provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and satisfies all requirements of federal and state laws and due process.

SATERIALE v. R.J. REYNOLDS TOBACCO CO.

Case No. 2:09-cv-08394 (C.D. Cal.)

The Honorable Christina A. Snyder (May 3, 2016): The Court finds that the Notice provided to the Settlement Class pursuant to the Settlement Agreement and the Preliminary Approval Order has been successful, was the best notice practicable under the circumstances and (1) constituted notice that was reasonably calculated, under the circumstances, to apprise members of the Settlement Class of the pendency of the Action, their right to

object to the Settlement, and their right to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; (2) was reasonable and constituted due, adequate, and sufficient notice to all persons entitled to receive notice; and (3) met all applicable requirements of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Due Process, and the rules of the Court.

FERRERA v. SNYDER'S-LANCE, INC.

Case No. 0:13-cv-62496 (S.D. Fla.)

The Honorable Joan A. Lenard (February 12, 2016): The Court approves, as to form and content, the Long-Form Notice and Short-Form Publication Notice attached to the Memorandum in Support of Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as Exhibits 1 and 2 to the Stipulation of Settlement. The Court also approves the procedure for disseminating notice of the proposed settlement to the Settlement Class and the Claim Form, as set forth in the Notice and Media Plan attached to the Memorandum in Support of Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement as Exhibits G. The Court finds that the notice to be given constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and constitutes valid, due, and sufficient notice to the Settlement Class in full compliance with the requirements of applicable law, including the Due Process Clause of the United States Constitution.

SOTO v. THE GALLUP ORGANIZATION, INC.

Case No. 0:13-cv-61747 (S.D. Fla.)

The Honorable Marcia G. Cooke (June 16, 2015): The Court approves the form and substance of the notice of class action settlement described in ¶ 8 of the Agreement and attached to the Agreement as Exhibits A, C and D. The proposed form and method for notifying the Settlement Class Members of the settlement and its terms and conditions meet the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(c)(2)(B) and due process, constitute the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons and entities entitled to the notice. The Court finds that the proposed notice is clearly designed to advise the Settlement Class Members of their rights.

OTT v. MORTGAGE INVESTORS CORPORATION OF OHIO, INC.

Case No. 3:14-cv-00645 (D. Or.)

The Honorable Janice M. Stewart (July 20, 2015): The Notice Plan, in form, method, and content, fully complies with the requirements of Rule 23 and due process, constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and is due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Court finds that the Notice Plan is reasonably calculated to, under all circumstances, reasonably apprise the persons in the Settlement Class of the pendency of this action, the terms of the Settlement Agreement, and the right to object to the Settlement and to exclude themselves from the Settlement Class.

IN RE: POOL PRODUCTS DISTRIBUTION MARKET ANTITRUST LITIGATION

MDL No. 2328/Case No. 2:12-md-02328 (E.D. La.)

The Honorable Sarah S. Vance (December 31, 2014): To make up for the lack of individual notice to the remainder of the class, the parties propose a print and web-based plan for publicizing notice. The Court welcomes the inclusion of web-based forms of communication in the plan. The Court finds that the proposed method of notice satisfies the requirements of Rule 23(c)(2)(B) and due process. The direct emailing of notice to those potential class members for whom Hayward and Zodiac have a valid email address, along with publication of notice in print and on the web, is reasonably calculated to apprise class members of the settlement. Moreover, the plan to combine notice for the Zodiac and Hayward settlements should streamline the process and avoid confusion that might otherwise be caused by a proliferation of notices for different settlements. Therefore, the Court approves the proposed notice forms and the plan of notice.